Organic LETTERS

Liquid-Phase Synthesis of Bridged Peptides Using Olefin Metathesis of a Protected Peptide with a Long Aliphatic Chain Anchor

Keisuke Aihara,[†] Chiaki Komiya,[†] Akira Shigenaga,[†] Tsubasa Inokuma,[†] Daisuke Takahashi,[§] and Akira Otaka^{*,†}

[†]Institute of Health Biosciences and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokushima, 1-78-1 Shomachi, Tokushima 770-8505, Japan

[§]Institute for Bioscience Products and Fine Chemicals, AJINOMOTO Co., Inc., 1730 Hinaga, Yokkaichi Mie 510-0885, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Bridged peptides including stapled peptides are attractive tools for regulating protein—protein interactions (PPIs). An effective synthetic methodology in a heterogeneous system for the preparation of these peptides using olefin metathesis and hydrogenation of protected peptides with a long aliphatic chain anchor is reported.

ixation of peptide conformation relevant to biological activity represents an entry indispensable for the development of peptide-based therapeutics.¹ Such a fixation includes the formation of covalent bonds between side-chain functionalities via disulfide² or lactam bridges.³ Recently, peptides possessing an all-hydrocarbon staple (stapled peptides) have gained increasing attention because their features are suitable as drug leads.^{4,5} Stapling of the pitch of α -helical peptides by a hydrocarbon chain allows the opposing nonstapled face to interact more efficiently with target proteins than parent peptides. Additionally, such α -helix stabilization has been reported to reduce the risk of degradation by proteases and to enhance the efficiency of cell membrane permeability, although these phenomena are case-by-case issues. The most common way to synthesize the stapled peptide starts from the pairwise incorporation of an olefin-bearing amino acid at *i* and *i* + 3 or i and i + 4 positions by solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS), followed by Ru-catalyzed ring-closing metathesis (RCM) toward the completed protected peptide on the resin.⁶ However, the reaction efficiency of the heterogeneous system during the RCM step can be quite low, and the efficiency is dependent on the sequence and conformation of the peptides (Figure 1A).⁷ Microwave irradiation at the RCM step has been used to overcome this potential inefficiency.⁸ In addition, deprotection of the protected RCM-complete peptide resin yields a mixture of cis- and trans-alkene isomers, which complicates the downstream experimental operations, including separation and characterization of isomers.⁹ Hydrogenation of the alkene part resolves this problem; however, reduction of alkene peptides remains an issue to be examined in full, regardless of whether the peptide exists in solution or on the resin.10

In terms of the problems mentioned above, we envisaged that the RCM step in a homogeneous solution phase should





Figure 1. Synthetic strategy of the stapled peptide: (A) conventional method; (b) our method.

enhance the efficacy of the RCM reaction, and incidentally the most convenient hydrogenation catalysts such as Pd/C could be used in the reduction of the olefin unit of the RCM product to afford nonisomeric peptides (Figure 1B). Recently, Chiba's¹¹ (Molecular hiving) and our groups¹² (AJIPHASE) have

Received:December 25, 2014Published:January 28, 2015

Organic Letters

independently reported a next-generation liquid-phase peptide synthesis (LPPS) method bearing operational merits seen in SPPS and conventional LPPS. This system features the use of C-terminal hydrophobic anchors that are soluble in halogenated solvents such as CHCl₃ or in THF but insoluble in MeOH or MeCN where coupling of Fmoc amino acids and removal of the Fmoc group in CHCl₃ are conducted in a homogeneous manner. After completion of the reactions, the addition of poor solvents such as MeOH or MeCN into the reaction mixture induces precipitation of the desired peptides bound on the hydrophobic anchor, whereas excess reagents and a cleaved Fmoc groups remain in solution.

We envisioned that the next-generation LPPS system should facilitate RCM for bridging in halogenated solvents and removal of a Ru catalyst with MeOH or MeCN after precipitation of the RCM product. Moreover, the bis-(alkoxyphenyl)methyl-type anchor reported by us is tolerant to hydrogenation conditions for the reduction of the olefin moiety of the RCM product by the Pd/C–H₂ system.¹³ Feasibility of the envisioned synthetic scheme for bridged peptides was examined by the synthesis of oxytocin analogues. Oxytocin (1) plays important roles in the neuroanatomical field and has been used in a clinical setting to induce labor and control postpartum hemorrhage (Figure 2).¹⁴ Moreover, the



Figure 2. Oxytocin (1) and the oxytocin analogue 2.

finding that an oxytocin analogue where the disulfide bond was replaced with an alkyl cross-linker showed enhanced biological activity as well as metabolic stability prompted us to synthesize the oxytocin analogue 2 as a model peptide in our envisioned scheme (Figure 1B).¹⁵ Construction of the protected peptide on the bis(alkoxyphenyl)methyl type anchor 3 using nextgeneration LPPS afforded the CH₂Cl₂-soluble, but MeOH- or MeCN-insoluble, anchor-linked protected peptide 4 in 51% isolated yield over 17 steps from the starting anchor 3 (Scheme 1). The resulting protected peptide was refluxed with Grubbs second-generation catalyst (Grubbs II) in CH₂Cl₂ for 1 h, and the resulting anchor-bound peptide 5 was precipitated by addition of a mixture of MeOH and CH₃CN into the reaction mixture. The formed precipitate was washed with the mixed solvent to remove the catalysts. ESI-TOF MS analyses of the samples before and after the RCM reaction indicated that the linear peptide 4 was completely converted to the cyclized peptide 5 (Figure 3). Additionally, reversed-phase HPLC analyses of samples resulting from deprotection of 4 and 5 with TFA-triisopropylsilane-H₂O (95:2.5:2.5 (v/v)) for 1.5 h at room temperature followed by ESI-TOF MS analysis proved that the RCM reaction proceeded efficiently to afford a mixture of olefin isomers in a 1:1 ratio (Figure 3). Having the anchorbound cyclized protected peptides 5 as mentioned above, we next attempted the reduction of the olefin peptides on the





Figure 3. ESI-TOF MS (A and B) and HPLC chromatograms of the reaction mixtures (C-E): (A) before RCM; (B) after RCM. (C) Crude material generated by deprotection of 4. (D) Crude material generated by deprotection of 5. (E) Crude material after hydrogenation and global deprotection of 5.

hydrophobic anchor. A solution of the cyclized protected peptides **5** in AcOH–THF was hydrogenated over Pd/C under H_2 gas for 34 h. After the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated, the residues were treated with TFA–triisopro-

Organic Letters

pylsilane– H_2O (95:2.5:2.5 (v/v)) at room temperature for 1.5 h to yield the oxytocin analogue 2 with high purity in 52% isolated yield over three steps without HPLC purification.

In order to examine the practicality of the developed method, we next tried to synthesize an i, i + 3 type stapled peptide (Scheme 2).¹⁶ In the case of the standard protocol using on-





resin RCM of 8 for 1 h, the reaction proceeded moderately and gave 9 in 52% conversion yield calculated from the HPLC peak area of the stapled peptide 10 and linear peptide 15. This result suggested that the reactivity of the protected peptide resin in the two-phase RCM reaction was not high enough for efficient stapling. Therefore, we prepared protected peptide 12 requisite for the homogeneous RCM reaction in 41% yield using the next-generation Fmoc LPPS on the Fmoc-Ala-incorporated hydrophobic anchor 11 (Scheme 3). Anchor-bound protected peptide 12 was refluxed with Grubbs II catalyst in CHCl₃ for 1 h, and the homogeneous RCM reaction proceeded smoothly to vield the corresponding anchor-bound protected peptide 13. Progress of the reaction was confirmed by both ESI-TOF MS analysis of 13 and HPLC analysis of samples resulting from acidic deprotection (Figure 4). Hydrogenation in the two-phase system of 13 in AcOH–THF over Pd/C followed by treatment with TFA-triisopropylsilane-H2O (95:2.5:2.5 (v/v)) yielded the desired stapled peptide 14 in 20% isolated yield over three steps after HPLC purification. Moreover, we showed that homogeneous diimine reduction using excess o-nitrobenzenesulfonylhydrazide (NBSH)^{17,18} and triethylamine in CHCl₃ can also be used for the reduction of the olefin instead of Pd/C. In this case, after the reaction the completed protected peptide can be precipitated with MeOH and excess reagents can be removed readily. (see the Supporting Information).

In conclusion, we have established a novel synthetic method for bridged peptides using a homogeneous RCM reaction of hydrophobic aliphatic anchor-bound protected peptides with practical application to the synthesis of an oxytocin analogue and an i and i + 3 type stapled peptide. The most characteristic feature of this method is that the use of the hydrophobic anchor-bound peptide allows the RCM reaction for bridging peptides to proceed in a homogeneous manner, which improves the low reactivity seen in conventional RCMmediated bridging of protected peptide resins. Moreover, in





Figure 4. ESI-TOF MS (A, B) and HPLC (C-E) monitoring of the reactions: (A) before RCM; (B) after RCM. (C) Crude materials cleaved from **12**. (D) Crude materials cleaved from **13**. (E) Crude materials after hydrogenation and global deprotection. HPLC conditions are described in the Supporting Information.

both synthetic examples, no detectable amount of crossmetathesis products was found. The newly developed protocol should provide significant performance in the preparation of various bridged and stapled peptides that represent potential therapeutic agents. ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures for key compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: aotaka@tokushima-u.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI). AJINOMOTO Co., Inc., and Nagase & Co., Ltd., are also acknowledged. K.A. is grateful for a JSPS fellowship.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Hruby, V. J. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery **2002**, 1, 847–858. (b) White, C. J.; Yudin, A. K. Nat. Chem. **2011**, 3, 509–524.

(2) Jackson, D. Y.; King, D. S.; Chmielewski, J.; Singh, S.; Schultz, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 9391–9392.

(3) (a) Houston, M. E.; Campbell, A. P.; Lix, B.; Kay, C. M.; Sykes, B. D.; Hodges, R. S. *Biochemistry* **1996**, 35, 10041–10050. (b) Taylor, J. W.; Taylor, J. W. *Biopolymers* **2002**, *66*, 49–75.

(4) For recent reviews on stapled peptides, see: (a) Verdine, G. L.; Hilinski, G. J. *Methods Enzymol.* **2012**, 503, 3–33. (b) Bird, G. H.; Gavathiotis, E.; LaBelle, J. L.; Katz, S. G.; Walensky, L. D. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2014**, 9, 831–837. (c) Walensky, L. D.; Bird, G. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, 57, 6275–6288. (d) Lau, Y. H.; de Andrade, P.; Wu, Y.; Spring, D. R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, 44, 91–102.

(5) Examples of stapled peptides: (a) Blackwell, H. E.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3281-3284. (b) Walensky, L. D.; Kung, A. L.; Escher, I.; Malia, T. J.; Barbuto, S.; Wright, R. D.; Wagner, G.; Verdine, G. L.; Korsmeyer, S. J. Science 2004, 305, 1466-1470. (c) Phillips, C.; Roberts, L. R.; Schade, M.; Bazin, R.; Bent, A.; Davies, N. L.; Moore, R.; Pannifer, A. D.; Pickford, A. R.; Prior, S. H.; Read, C. M.; Scott, A.; Brown, D. G.; Xu, B.; Irving, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9696-9699. (d) Muppidi, A.; Doi, K.; Edwardraja, S.; Drake, E. J.; Gulick, A. M.; Wang, H.-G.; Lin, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14734-14737. (e) Brown, C. J.; Quah, S. T.; Jong, J.; Goh, A. M.; Chiam, P. C.; Khoo, K. H.; Choong, M. L.; Lee, M. A.; Yurlova, L.; Zolghadr, K.; Joseph, T. L.; Verma, C. S.; Lane, D. P. ACS Chem. Biol. 2013, 8, 506-512. (f) Nomura, W.; Aikawa, H.; Ohashi, N.; Urano, E.; Métifiot, M.; Fujino, M.; Maddali, K.; Ozaki, T.; Nozue, A.; Narumi, T.; Hashimoto, C.; Tanaka, T.; Pommier, Y.; Yamamoto, N.; Komano, J. A.; Murakami, T.; Tamamura, H. ACS Chem. Biol. 2013, 8, 2235-2244. (g) Changa, Y. S.; Gravesb, B.; Guerlavaisa, V.; Tovarb, C.; Packmanb, K.; Tob, K.-H.; Olsona, K. A.; Kesavana, K.; Gangurdea, P.; Mukherjeea, A.; Bakera, T.; Darlaka, K.; Elkina, C.; Filipovicb, Z.; Qureshib, F. Z.; Caia, H.; Berryb, P.; Feyfanta, E.; Shia, X. E.; Horsticka, J.; Annisa, D. A.; Manninga, A. M.; Fotouhib, N.; Nasha, H.; Vassilevb, L. T.; Sawyer, T. K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013, 110, E3445-E3454. (h) Cuia, H.-K.; Qing, J.; Guo, Y.; Wang, Y.-G.; Cui, L.-J.; He, T.-H.; Zhang, L.; Liu, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 3547-3554. (i) Wang, Y.; Ho, T. G.; Bertinetti, D.; Neddermann, M.; Franz, E.; Mo, G. C. H.; Schendowich, L. P.; Sukhu, A.; Spelts, R. C.; Zhang, J.; Herberg, F. W.; Kennedy, E. J. ACS Chem. Biol. 2014, 9, 635-642. (j) Spiegel, J.; Cromm, R. M.; Itzen, A.; Goody, R. S.; Grossmann, T. N.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2498-2503. (k) Douse, C. H.; Maas, S. J.; Thomas, J. C.; Garnett, J. A.; Sun, Y.; Cota, E.; Tate, E. W. ACS Chem. Biol. 2014, 9, 2204-2209. (6) Kim, Y.-W.; Grossmann, T. M.; Verdine, G. L. Nat. Protoc. 2011, 6, 761-771.

(7) Schafmeister, C. E.; Po, J.; Verdine, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 5891-5892.

(8) (a) Chapman, R. N.; Arora, P. S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5825-5828.
(b) Illesinghe, J.; Guo, C. X.; Garland, R.; Ahmed, A.; Van Lierop, B.; Elaridi, J.; Jackson, W. R.; Robinson, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3, 295-297. (c) Khan, S. N.; Kim, A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Kwon, Y.-U. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1582-1585. (d) Heapy, A. M.; Williams, G. M.; Fraser, J. D.; Brimble, M. A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 878-881.

(9) Bhattacharya, S.; Zhang, H.; Cowburn, D.; Debnath, A. K. *Biopolymers* **2012**, *97*, 253–264.

(10) (a) Schmiedeberg, N.; Kessler, H. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 59-62.
(b) Stymiest, J. L.; Mitchell, B. F.; Wong, S.; Vederas, J. C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 47-49. (c) Pattabiraman, V. R.; Stymiest, J. L.; Derksen, D. L.; Martin, N. I.; Vederas, J. C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 699-702.
(d) Robinson, A. J.; Elaridi, J.; Van Lierop, B. J.; Mujcinovic, S.; Jackson, W. R. J. Pept. Sci. 2007, 13, 280-285. (e) Slootweg, J. C.; Kemmink, J.; Liskampa, R. M. J.; Rijkers, D. T. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 7486-7496.

(11) (a) Chiba, K.; Kono, Y.; Kim, S.; Nishimoto, K.; Kitano, Y.; Tada, M. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1766–1767. (b) Tana, G.; Kitada, S.; Fujita, S.; Okada, Y.; Kima, S.; Chiba, K. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8219–8221. (c) Okada, Y.; Suzuki, H.; Nakae, T.; Fujita, S.; Abe, H.; Nagano, K.; Yamada, T.; Ebata, N.; Kim, S.; Chiba, K. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 320–327. (d) Kitada, S.; Fujita, S.; Okada, Y.; Kim, S.; Chiba, K. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 2555–2559.

(12) (a) Takahashi, D.; Yamamoto, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 1936–1939. (b) Takahashi, D.; Yano, T.; Fukui, T. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 4514–4517.

(13) Bis(alkoxyphenyl)methyl amide is endurable under the hydrogenation conditions: Nicolaou, K. C.; Koumbis, A. E.; Takayanagi, M.; Natarajan, S.; Jain, N. F.; Bando, T.; Li, H.; Hughes, R. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 2622–2647.

(14) (a) Viero, C.; Shibuya, I.; Kitamura, N.; Verkhratsky, A.; Fujihara, H.; Katoh, A.; Ueta, Y.; Zingg, H. H.; Chvatal, A.; Sykova, E.; Dayanithi, G. CNS Neurosci. Ther. **2010**, *16*, e138–e156. (b) Simpson, K. R. J. Midwifery Womens Health **2011**, *56*, 214–221.

(15) Stymiest, J. L.; Mitchell, B. F.; Wong, S.; Vederas, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7799-7809.

(16) Kim, Y.-W.; Kutchukian, P. S.; Verdine, G. L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3046–3049.

(17) (a) Hunig, S.; Muller, H. R.; Their, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
1965, 4, 271–280. (b) Haukaas, M. H.; O'Doherty, G. A. Org. Lett.
2002, 4, 1771–1774. (c) Buszek, K. R.; Brown, N. J. Org. Chem. 2007,
72, 3125–3128. (d) Marsh, B. J.; Carbery, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 2009,
74, 3186–3188.

(18) Preparation of NBSH: Myers, A. G.; Zheng, B.; Movassaghi, M. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 7507.